

Dietary Evaluation and Partial Budget Analysis of Earthworm Meal Based Diet on the Performance Characteristics of Catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*)

Moshood Adewale Belewu^{1*}, Hauwa Ohunene Sadiq³, Kafayat Yemisi Belewu², Emmanuel Sunday Gana³, Dolapo Joy Ogunkoya¹

¹Microbial Biotechnology and Dairy Science Laboratory, Department of Animal Production, University of Ilorin, Kwara-Nigeria

²Department of Agricultural Economics and Farm Management, University of Ilorin, Kwara-Nigeria

³Department of Fisheries, Aquaculture and Wildlife, University of Abuja, FCT- Nigeria.

*Corresponding author: milkyinka@yahoo.com, mabel@unilorin.edu.ng, milkyinka2014@gmail.com

Abstract

Fishmeal is considered the best protein source in fish feeding but increasing cost and high demand of it in animal and aquaculture industries have necessitated the need for good alternatives without compromising quality. The thrust of this study was to evaluate the potential of earthworm meal as a replacement of fishmeal in *Clarias gariepinus* diets. In a completely randomized design, 120 *Clarias gariepinus* (25±0.36g) were randomly distributed into 12 tanks (35L), each containing 10 fish in triplicate. Experimental diets were formulated with earthworm meal (EWM) replacing fishmeal at 0% (EWM₀; control), 25% (EWM₂₅), 50% (EWM₅₀) and 100% (EWM₁₀₀) and fed to experimental fish twice daily at 5% body weight for eight weeks. Growth performance parameters, nutrient utilization, profitability index and survival were measured and calculated using standard procedures. Result showed that protein intake ranged from 6.71g/d to 10.85g/d while protein efficiency ratio was 1.05 to 1.59. Gross feed conversion efficiency was between 0.33 and 0.47. Weight gain varied significantly across the treatments with the highest in fish fed EWM₅₀ and EWM₀ and least in those fed EWM₁₀₀. Specific Growth Rate, Feed Conversion Ratio and Profitability index were highest in fish fed EWM₅₀. Survival rate were higher in fish fed EWM (85 – 90%) compared to those fed control diet, EWM₀ (80%). Results from this study concluded that, fishmeal can be replaced with up to 50% earthworm meal in fish feed for better growth performance and economic viability of *Clarias gariepinus* culture.

Keywords: Earthworm meal, African mudfish, Growth performance, Nutrient utilization, partial budget analysis

Introduction

Fish nutrition is critical in fish farming because feed represents over 70% of production cost (Ploegmakers, 2022 and Fregene *et al.*, 2020). Growth performance and nutrient utilization of fish is determined by gross composition of the feed ingredients, processing and storage of the feed products. To culture catfish on an intensive level, it is important to feed fish feeds that provide nutrients and energy required for normal metabolic functions such as reproduction and growth like every other animal (Ajidhaslin *et al.*, 2022).

Due to declining catch from capture fisheries, intense competition between human food and animal feed industries, the rise in energy prices worldwide, and an unpredictable year-round supply, fishmeal has become increasingly rare and expensive (Nairuti *et al.*, 2022 and FAO, 2022). The constant use of fishmeal in aquaculture has consequently challenged the long-term viability of fisheries resources and increased fish demand, which has impacted fish farmers' profit margins (Tidd *et al.*, 2022). Although there is an obvious demand for less expensive fish feed, it is crucial that catfish feeds be formulated to be cost-effective rather than just less expensive (Ajidhaslin *et al.*, 2022). It is also important that the nutritional and physical quality of the feed, fish development, processed production, and product quality are not compromised by using less expensive substitute feedstuffs (Robinson and Li, 2015).

There are still some questionable possibilities for making inexpensive, dependable, and eco-friendly substitute fish feeds. Lack of certain amino acids, such as methionine, lysine and isoleucine in meat, bone meal and blood meal, limits the utilization of animal-based protein sources for fish diets, (Musyoka *et al.*, 2019). Moreover, microbial contamination and the possibility for disease transmission provide difficulties for the sustainability of the usage of animal-based protein sources (Ogello *et al.*, 2014).

Earthworms are almost always present throughout the year and are a good candidate for use as non-conventional fish and poultry feed ingredients due to their high reproductive rate and biomass output (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2022). Fish feeds incorporated with non-conventional feedstuff such as earthworm meal are not often found in the market and are not the conventional ingredients in the preparation of commercial fish feed (Pandey, 2013). Hence, the thrust of this study was to evaluate the effect of earthworm meal on the performance characteristics of catfish as well as assessing the cost effectiveness of the experimental diets.

Materials and methods

Study Location

This study was conducted at the University of Ilorin, University of Ilorin is located in the North Central, Nigeria with a mean temperature of 25°C -30°C and mean annual rainfall of 1,200mm, humidity of 89% and pressure 1014mb (BBC weather Ilorin), while the University has a coordinate of 8.4795°N and 4.6712°E.

Earthworm Culturing, Collection and Processing

Earthworms used for the experiment were primarily cultured through a process known as Vermiculture. This is an artificial cultivating or rearing of worms and the technology is the scientific process of using them for the benefit of human and industrial goals. The process was done by collecting and using waste animal dung, paper and cardboard at a ratio of 1:1:1 as culturing media for the growth of the earthworm (Manohar *et al.*, 2016) however, the temperature, humidity and pH were taken into consideration for optimum growth. The process will help in converting garbage into compost by the earthworm with increasing number in their growth. During harvesting, the worms were collected and washed in clean water to remove the rubble and soil and was later processed into Earthworm meal.

The cultured earthworms were firstly sun dried for about two days, later subjected to oven drying at about 40 °C for 6 hours to prevent the decomposition of the earthworms. The earthworms were then milled to produce earthworm meal (EWM) and stored in plastic containers in preparation for its addition in the experimental diet

Preparation of the Experimental Diets

The prepared earthworm meal was included in the experimental diets as follows; experimental diet 1, EWM₀ (Control), contained 0% EWM while experimental diets 2, 3, and 4 (EWM₂₅ EWM₅₀ and EWM₁₀₀) contained 3.5% EWM, 7% EWM and 14% EWM respectively to replace fishmeal while other ingredients were of fixed proportions (table 1).

Table 1: Composition of the Experimental Diets

Ingredients (%)	Experimental Diets			
	EWM ₀	EWM ₂₅	EWM ₅₀	EWM ₁₀₀
Fishmeal	14.00	10.50	7.00	0.00
Blood meal	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Soybean meal	32.00	32.00	32.00	32.00
Groundnut cake	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00
Earthworm meal	00.00	3.50	7.00	14.00
Maize	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00
Fish Pre-mix	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Lysine	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Methionine	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Vitamin C	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Common Salt	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
DCP*	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

*Dicalcium Phosphate

Experimental fish

A total of One hundred and twenty (120) *Clarias gariepinus* (average weight of 25g) for this study were acquired from a reputable fish farm in Ilorin metropolis and transported early in the morning in a 50L fish transporting tank to the study area. The fish samples were divided into four plastic tanks for acclimatization under aerated condition and fed with commercial feed for 7 days before the commencement of the experiment.

Experimental design and procedure

The experimental design was a Completely Randomized Design, where experimental fish were randomized against the experimental diets. The fish were fed with 5% of their body weight per day (twice daily between 8 – 9am and 4 – 6pm) for 8 weeks. Using a sensitive scale, fish in individual tanks were batch-weighed on a weekly basis during the feeding period, and the meal was adjusted as necessary.

Total changing of water was done every other day and replaced with aerated de-chlorinated water. Water quality parameters were monitored at interval throughout the study period, these were; temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen and conductivity. Hanna instruments pH/EC/TDS/Temperature meter were used to measure temperature and pH while dissolved oxygen was determined using Winkler titration method, conductivity was measured with digital conductivity meter.

Chemical analysis

All diets were analyzed for their proximate composition using the method of AOAC (2011) while metabolizable energy (ME) was calculated using the method of Capentari and Clegg (1956).

Growth Performance Characteristics

Growth performance characteristics such as Weight gain (WG), Mean Weight Gain (MWG), Specific Growth Rate (SGR); nutrient utilizations parameters such as Feed Intake (FI), Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR), Feed Efficiency (FE), Gross Feed Conversion Ratio (GFCR) Protein Intake (PI), and Protein Efficiency Ratio (PER) were determined using the following formulas according to Ojewole *et al.* (2022) and Olaniyi *et al.* (2013);

$$\text{Weight gain (g)} = W_2 - W_1$$

$$\text{Mean Weight gain (g)} = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{N}$$

$$\text{SGR} = \frac{\ln W_2 - \ln W_1}{T_2 - T_1} \times 100$$

$$\text{Feed intake} = \text{Total feed consumed through out duration of experiment}$$

$$\text{FCR} = \frac{\text{Weight of feed fed}}{\text{weight gain}}$$

$$\text{Feed Efficiency} = \frac{\text{Average weight gain}}{\text{Average feed consumed}}$$

$$\text{GFCR} = \frac{1}{\text{FCR}}$$

$$\text{Protein intake} = \text{total feed consumed} \times \text{percentage protein in feed}$$

$$\text{Protein Efficiency Ratio (PER)} = \frac{\text{Weight gain (g)}}{\text{Protein intake}}$$

$$\text{Survival Rate (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of fish that survived}}{\text{Total number of fish stocked}} \times 100$$

Where;

W_1 = initial weight of fish,

W_2 = final weight of fish and

N = Number of fish

T_1 and T_2 = First and last day of experiment (days).

\ln = Natural logarithm

Partial Budget Analysis

The profitability of feeding EWM based diets to *C. gariepinus* was determined using the partial budget analysis and marginal rate of return. Utilizing market pricing, the cost of feed was computed while accounting for transportation and processing expenses.

- i. Profitability index being a measure of a project or investment attractiveness was calculated as:

$$\text{Profitability index} = \frac{\text{Present value of future cash flow}}{\text{Initial investment}}$$

- ii. Rate of return on capital was calculated using the formula:

$$\text{Rate of return on capital} = \frac{\text{Net Return (NR)}}{\text{Total Cost (TC)}}$$

- iii. Percentage marketing margin was determined by using the formula:

$$\text{Marketing margin (\%)} = \frac{\text{Selling Price} - \text{Cost Price}}{\text{Selling Price}} \times 100$$

Statistical analysis

All data collected were subjected to Analysis of variance and means were separated using Duncan Multiple Range Test at P<0.05 level.

Results

Proximate composition of the experimental diets and the earthworm meal are shown in Table 2. The earthworm meal reported herein contains 50.97% Crude Protein, 6.79% ether extract, 1.44% Crude fiber and 6.96% Ash. Crude protein of experimental diets ranged from 29.53% to 37.41% while the other nutrient parameters showed a direct proportional relationship with EWM levels in the diet.

Table 2: Proximate Composition of the Experimental Diets and Earthworm meal (EWM)

Parameters (%)	Experimental Diets				EWM
	EWM ₀	EWM ₂₅	EWM ₅₀	EWM ₁₀₀	
Dry matter	92.74	90.77	94.62	95.12	95.86
Crude Protein	29.53	32.81	37.41	31.94	50.97
Ether extract	11.12	10.08	11.97	12.01	6.79
Crude fiber	4.20	4.65	5.12	5.20	1.44
Ash	6.72	8.39	9.72	8.10	6.96

The growth characteristics and nutrient utilization of *Clarias gariepinus* fed diets with varying inclusion levels of Earthworm meal are shown in Table 3. Average weight gain varied significantly (P<0.05) from 400g and 670g in fish fed EWM₀ to EWM₁₀₀. Fish fed EWM₅₀ had the highest weight gain and least weight gain was in fish fed EWM₁₀₀. Feed intake per percentage body weight (%BW) increased as the inclusion levels of earthworm meal increased in the diets but this increase was not statistically significant. Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR) varied significantly (P<0.05) in the different diets with the lowest FCR recorded in fish fed EWM₀ and the highest in fish fed EWM₁₀₀. Highest Gross Feed Conversion Ratio (GFCR) was recorded in EWM₀ with 0.47 and least in EWM₁₀₀ with 0.33, though not significantly different. Fish given EWM₀ had the highest specific growth rate (1.16) in this study while those fed EWM₁₀₀ had the least (0.71), an observation that was statistically significant. The highest Protein intake was observed in fish fed EWM₅₀ and least in fish fed EWM₁₀₀, this varied significantly across the treatments. Protein efficiency ratio ranged significantly from 2.67 (EWM₅₀) to 3.37 (EWM₀). Survival rate was least (80%) in fish fed EWM₀ and highest in those fed EWM₅₀ and EWM₁₀₀ (90%).

Table 3: Growth Performance and Nutrient Utilization of *C. gariepinus* fed EWM Based Diets

Parameters	EWM ₀	EWM ₂₅	EWM ₅₀	EWM ₁₀₀
Weight gain (g)	650 ^a	610 ^b	670 ^a	400 ^c
Feed Intake (%BW)	3.79	4.03	4.34	5.36
Specific Growth Rate	1.16 ^a	1.09 ^b	1.20 ^a	0.71 ^c
Feed efficiency	2.71	2.48	2.31	1.82
FCR	2.13 ^d	2.27 ^c	2.43 ^b	3.03 ^a
GFCR	0.47	0.44	0.41	0.33
Protein Efficiency Ratio	3.37 ^a	3.05 ^{ab}	2.67 ^b	3.13 ^{ab}
Protein intake (g/day)	7.29 ^c	8.07 ^b	10.85 ^a	6.71 ^d
Survival rate (%)	80	85	90	90

Means along the rows with the same superscript are not significant different (P>0.05)

Partial budget analysis of feeding *C. gariepinus* earthworm meal based diets is presented in Table 4. The profitability index in this study was 2.74 (EWM₅₀) > 2.62 (EWM₂₅) > 2.04 (EWM₀) > 1.79 (EWM₁₀₀) while marketing margins were highest in EWM₀ and EWM₅₀ with 6.25% and 6.30% respectively. Rate of return of capital was highest in fish fed EWM₅₀ and least in fish given EWM₁₀₀.

Table 4: Partial Budget analysis of feeding *C. gariepinus* EWM based diets

Parameters	EWM ₀	EWM ₂₅	EWM ₅₀	EWM ₁₀₀
Profitability index	2.04 ^c	2.62 ^b	2.74 ^a	1.79 ^d
Rate of Return on Capital	1.02	1.01	1.02	0.54
Marketing margin	6.25	4.69	6.30	2.50

Means along the rows with the same superscript are not significant different (P>0.05)

Results of the water quality parameters had no significant differences (P>0.05) in all the parameters measured except conductivity and the values were within the normal standard for rearing *Clarias gariepinus* (table 5).

Table 5: Water quality parameters

Parameters	EWM ₀	EWM ₂₅	EWM ₅₀	EWM ₁₀₀
pH	6.70	6.75	6.80	6.76
Temperature (°C)	26.00	27.00	27.00	26.00
Dissolved Oxygen (Mg/L)	5.30	5.80	5.70	5.45
Conductivity (µs/cm)	768.00 ^c	826.00 ^b	898.00 ^a	908.00 ^a

Means along the rows with the same superscript are not significant different (P>0.05).

Summary

Nutrient composition of the experimental diets in the present study showed a direct relationship with increasing EWM levels in the diet. The study examined the growth characteristics and nutrient utilization of *Clarias gariepinus* fed diets with varying levels of Earthworm meal. The average weight gain varied significantly between 400g and 670g, with EWM₅₀ having the highest weight gain and EWM₁₀₀ having the least. The profitability index was 2.74, with highest marketing margin in EWM₅₀ at 6.30%. The rate of return of capital was highest in EWM₅₀ fish. The water quality parameters measured for *Clarias gariepinus* during this study were within the normal standard.

Discussion

The Crude protein content of Earthworm meal in the present study were similar to the values reported by Kirens *et al.* (2019); Sun *et al.* (2019); Davis and Raja (2019) and Deepika *et al.* (2018). Additionally, the ether extract (6.79%) reported in this study were higher than 1.25% reported by Deepika *et al.* (2018) but lower than 11.0% reported by Onura *et al.* (2022) while the crude fiber (1.44%) was higher than 1.25% and lower than 3.75% reported by Onura *et al.* (2022) and Deepika *et al.* (2018) respectively. The Ash content reported herein (6.96%) was similar to the result (6.71%) obtained by Onura *et al.* (2022) but was lower than 10.31% and 45.66% noted by Davis and Raja (2019) and Deepika *et al.* (2018) respectively. The proximate composition of the experimental diets showed variation in composition among treatment diets due probably to the various inclusion levels of earthworm meal (EWM) in the diets. The range of Crude protein noted for the experimental diets reported herein were within the values of 25 and 50 % reported by Omoike *et al.* (2020) as the range for catfish requirements. Hence, the diets in this study were nutritionally adequate to feed *C. gariepinus*.

Good weight gain was recorded across fish fed different earthworm meal-based diets except those fed with the highest earthworm level. This indicated that the experimental diets are nutritionally balanced and adequate for *C. gariepinus*. The lower weight gain of fish fed diets 4 can be attributed to the total replacement of fishmeal by earthworm meal in the diet. Similar results have been reported by numerous authors (Omoike *et al.*, 2020) for *Clarias gariepinus*. According to several studies, earthworms meet the nutritional requirements of many fish species and have acceptable levels of protein, essential amino acids, and lipids similar to those found in fishmeal (Hasanuzzaman *et al.*, 2022; Parolini *et al.*, 2020; Musyoka *et al.*, 2019; Gunya *et al.*, 2016; de Chaves *et al.*, 2015). The result of Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR) varied significantly due probably to the inclusion of EWM in the experimental diet. EWM₀ had the lowest FCR (2.13) followed by EWM₂₅ (2.27) and EWM₅₀ (2.43) while EWM₁₀₀ (3.03) indicated poor utilization which may have led to depressed growth shown in the result. Some studies in the past showed that the total replacement of fishmeal by maggot or earthworm meal solely resulted in reducing growth performance of *Heteroclaris* fingerlings (Olele, 2011 and Monebi and Ugwumba, 2013); and *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (Habashy, 2012) *Oreochromis niloticus* (Ezewudo *et al.*, 2015) and *Common carp* (Pucher *et al.*, 2014) which was evidenced in the present study. However, other studies have shown contrary results,

indicating better growth performance in *Oreochromis niloticus* (Gbai *et al.*, 2018); *Clarias gariepinus* (Djissou *et al.*, 2016a) and *Oreochromis niloticus* (Djissou *et al.*, 2016b) and *Penaeus merguensis* (Rachmawati, and Nurhayati, 2022).

The specific growth rate (SGR) was similar to that of Omoike *et al.* (2020) for *Clarias gariepinus*. It is interesting to note that fish sample fed on diet 3 gave the best and significant ($P < 0.05$) specific growth rate. This supported the work of Olele and Okonkwo (2012) who fed heteroclarias fingerlings partial and total replacement of fishmeal with earthworm meal. The SGR of *Clarias gariepinus* fed with diets containing up to 7% earthworm meal in the current study was similar to the reports of Olele and Okonkwo (2012) where the authors asserted that inclusion of EWM improved the performance characteristics of fish. The value of PER noted in this study was higher than the value reported by Omoike *et al.* (2020). The variation may be due to different sources of protein used in the studies as well as the feed composition. The PER was best for EWM₅₀ (7% EWM inclusion) and poorest from EWM₀. The Gross Feed Conversion Efficiency which is a reciprocal of FCR reported was 0.47 (EWM₀); 0.44 (EWM₂₅); 0.41 (EWM₅₀) and 0.33 (EWM₁₀₀). All fish samples in the present study on EWM based diets had high survival rate compared to the Control, EWM₀, and these results were in tandem with the values obtained by Omoike *et al.* (2020).

The highest profitability index noted for EWM₅₀, and EWM₂₅ showed that these diets were more attractive and better compared to EWM₀ (Control) while EWM₁₀₀ had poor Profitability index. The higher values of 6.30% and 6.25% Marketing margins recorded for EWM₀ (6.25) and EWM₅₀ (6.30) are very encouraging for farmers to include 50% Earthworm meal in the diet of Catfish for more gain. Additionally, the EWM₅₀ had a higher Rate of Return on Capital with the potential to produce more profit per N1 employed, which should encourage farmers to use earthworm meal at a 50% replacement for fishmeal in order to increase profits. The poor performance of fish samples on EWM₁₀₀ could be due to higher inclusion level of earthworm meal in this diet.

Our study showed that the water temperatures of 26 °C and 27 °C were within the tolerable range for the culturing of Catfish and were similar to results (26.74 °C – 26.95 °C) obtained by Onura *et al.* (2022). The values in our study also agreed with the reported values of between 25 and 30 °C by Kasihmuddin *et al.* (2021); Basita and Rajis (2021) and Omoike *et al.* (2020). The dissolved oxygen reported in the current study agreed with the assertion of Ott (2023) and Basuki *et al.* (2018) that dissolved oxygen values should be greater than 3mg/l in water used for Catfish culture and Basita and Rajis (2021) who recommended a value not less than 3mg/l. The pH value noted in the current study was in conformity with the value reported by Omoike *et al.* (2020) and was within the range of 6.5 – 8.5 recommended by Basita and Rajis (2021). Since, conductivity is a measure of the quality of water, the results of the conductivity of water reported here in our study was higher than the value (150 - 500µs/cm) noted by Russel *et al.* (2011) but was within the range of values (100-2000µs/cm) reported by Stone *et al.* (2013). This indicates that the water was of good and adequate quality for the fish samples.

Conclusion and Recommendation

In the present study, earthworm meal was used partially in place of fishmeal in the diet of *Clarias gariepinus*. The results showed that, when compared to other diets, the inclusion of earthworm meal at a rate of 50% produced the highest growth performance and rate of return on capital. Backed by evidences of other reported studies with similar results, farmers can be encouraged to explore the utilization of earthworm meal inclusion in the diets of *Clarias gariepinus* up to 50% as it holds a potential to reduce cost of production with better growth performance of fish.

References

- Ajidhaslin, S., Ruby, P. and Antony, C. 2022. Catfishes – Nutrition and Feeds for Successful Farming. *Vigyan Varta* 3(11): 9-13.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC), (2011). Association of Official Analytical Chemists International. 2011. Official Method of Analysis, 18th ed. International Maryland USA. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, International. USA.
- Basiita, R.K. and Rajts, F. (2021). Guidelines for African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) spawning and fingerling production in the Democratic Republic of Congo. Penang, Malaysia: CGIAR Research Program on Fish Agri-Food Systems. Guidelines: FISH-2021-01.
- BBC-Weather-Ilorin. Retrieved from www.bbc.co.uk/weather on the 15/11/22

- Capentar, K.J and Clegg, K.M. 1956. Metabolizable energy of Poultry Feeding stuffs in Relation to their Chemical Composition. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2740070109> on 11/11/22.
- Davis, E. K and Raja, S. (2019). Comparative analysis of animal based feed preferences in selected Aquarium fishes. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies* 7(2): 42 – 45.
- De Chaves, R. C., Paula, R. Q., Gücker, B., Marriel, I. E., Teixeira, A. O. and Boëchat, I. G. (2015). An alternative fish feed based on earthworm and fruit meals for tilapia and carp post-larvae. *Brazilian Journal of Biosciences*, 13(1), 15–24.
- Deepika, B., Leela, V and Ezhil valen (2018). Nutritional analysis of earthworm (*Euarilus eugeniae*). *International Journal Chemical Studies* 6(6): 1536 – 1539.
- Djissou, A.S.M., Adjahouinou, D.C., Koshio, S. and Fiogbe, E D. (2016a). Complete replacement of fish meal by other animal protein sources on growth performance of *Clarias gariepinus* fingerlings. *International Aquatic Research* 8: 333 – 341.
- Djissou A. S. M., Vodounnou J. V., Tossavi C. E., Toguyeni A., and Fiogbe E. D. (2016b). Complete replacement of fish meal by unconventional proteins sources in diet of *Oreochromis niloticus* (L., 1758) fingerlings: growth performance, feed utilization and body composition. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies* 4(5): 242 – 247.
- Ezewudo B. I., Monebi C. O., Ugwumba A. A. A., 2015 Production and utilization of *Musca domestica* maggots in the diet of *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus, 1758) fingerlings. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 10(23): 2363 – 2371.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), (2013). The state of food insecurity in the World, The multiple dimension of food security. Rome. Food and Agriculture Organization pp5.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), (2022). The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2022. Part 1 World view. Rome <https://www.fao.org/3/cc0461en/online/sofia/2022/capture-fisheries-production.html> Accessed on 05/10/2023
- Fregene B. T., Rodrigue, Y., Karisa, H. C., Boloruduro, P. I. and Olaniyi, A.A. (2020). Extension Manual on Quality Low-Cost Fish Feed Formulation and Production: (First Edition): Technologies for African Agricultural Transformation (TAAT) Aquaculture Compact, WorldFish. Pg 63.
- Gbai, M., Ouattara, N., Bamba, Y., Ouattara, M., Ouattara, A. And Yao, K. (2018). Substitution of the fish meal by the earthworm and maggot meal in the feed of Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* reared in freshwater. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture* 10(6): 77 – 85.
- Gunya, B., Patrick, J. M., Arno, H. and Voster, M. (2016). Nutrient composition and fatty acid profiles of oven-dried and freeze-dried earthworm *Eisenia fetida*. *Journal of Food and Nutritional Research*, 4(6), 343–348.
- Habashy M. M., 2012 Effect of dried earthworm (*Aporrectodea caliginosa*) as replacement of fish meal on growth and survival rate of the freshwater prawn, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (De Man, 1879) larvae. *Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries* 16(1): 105 – 114.
- Hasanuzzaman, A., Hossian, S. and Das, M. (2022), Nutritional potentiality of earthworm (*Perionyx excavatus*) for substituting fishmeal used in local feed company in Bangladesh. *Mesopotamian Journal of Marine Sciences*, 25(2), 134–139. <https://doi.org/10.58629/mjms.v25i2.196>
- Kasihmuddin, S. M., Ghaffar, M. A. and Das, S. K. (2021) Rising Temperature Effects on Growth and Gastric Emptying Time of Freshwater African Catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) Fingerlings. *Animals* 11(12): 3497. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11123497>
- Manohar, A., Tulasi, T., Gajjela, L., Prasad, M.D. Gopi, N. Mobeema, S., Rajesh, K. Srinivas, S. and Parasa, L. (2016). Vermicompost Preparation from Plant Debris, Cattle Dung and Paper Waste by Using Three Varieties of Earthworms in Green Fields Institute of Agriculture, Research and Training, Vijayawada (AP), India. *Current Agriculture Research Journal* 4 (1): 102 – 107. 10.12944/CARJ.4.1.11.
- Monebi, C.O and Ugwumba, A.A.A. (2013). Utilization of earthworm, (*Eudrilus eugeniae*) in the diet of Heteroclaris fingerlings. *International Journal for Fisheries and Aquaculture* 5(2): 19-25
- Musyoka, S.N., Liti, D.M., Ogello, E. and Waidbacher, H. (2019). Utilization of the earthworm, *Eisenia fetida* (Savigny, 1826) as an alternative protein source in fish feeds processing: A review. *Aquaculture Research* 50: 2301 – 2315.
- Nairuti, R.N., Musyoka, S.N., Yegon, M.J., Opiyo, M.A. (2022). Utilization of Black Soldier Fly (*Hermetia illucens* Linnaeus) Larvae as a Protein Source for Fish Feed – a Review. *Aquaculture Studies* 22(2) AQUAST697. <http://doi.org/10.4194/AQUAST697> 12pg.
- Ogello, E.O., Munguti, J.M, Sakakura, Y and Hagiwara, A. (2014). Complete replacement of fishmeal in the diet of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) grow-out with alternative protein sources. A Review. *International Journal of Advanced Research* 2(8): 962-978.

- Ojewole, A.E., Faturoti, E.O. and Ihundu, C. (2022). Nutrient utilization and growth performance of African Catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) fed varying levels of Composite Meal (CM) in replacement of fishmeal. *International Journal of Aquaculture and Fishery Science* 8(2): 054 – 058.
- Olaniyi C.O., Ajani, N.O. and Adetomi, M. N. 2013. Growth Performance and Nutrient Utilization of *Clarias gariepinus* Fed *Moringa oleifera* Leaf Meal. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research* 3(8): 99 – 104.
- Olele, N. F. (2011). Growth Response of Heteroclaris Fingerlings Fed on Earthworm Meal in Hatchery Tanks. *Journal of Life Sciences* 3(2): 131 – 136.
- Olele, N.F and Okonkwo, J.C. (2012). Replacement of fishmeal with graded levels of earthworm meal in the diets of fingerlings: Effect on feed and growth parameters. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology A* 2: 901-908
- Omoike, A., Falaye, A.E. and Adesina, S.B. (2020). Practical Growth Performance and Nutrient Utilization of Catfish, *Clarias garienpinus* fed varying inclusion level of fermented Unsieved Yellow Maize, *Journal of Natural Science Research* 10: 543-550.
- Onura, C. N., James, G. J., Muthumbi, A. W., Wang'ondur, V. W. and Sigana, D. A. (2022). Performance of African catfish *Clarias gariepinus* larvae fed on formulated diets containing *Spirulina platensis* and *Eisenia fetida*. *East African Journal of Science, Technology and Innovation* 3 (2): 21pg.
- Ott, B.D. (2023). Dissolved oxygen management on catfish farms. NWAC (National Warm water Aquaculture Center) Aquaculture Newsletter. 18:(1).
- Pandey, G. (2013). Feed formulation and feeding technology for fishes. *International Research Journal of Pharmacy* 4(3): 23 – 30.
- Ploegmakers, M. (2022). Microalgae: A sustainable food source for fish. *In-depth: Feed additives*. Accessed on 10/05/2023 from <https://www.allaboutfeed.net/animal-feed/feed-additives/microalgae-a-sustainable-food-source-for-fish/>
- Pucher J., Ngoc T. N., Yen T. T. H., Mayrhofer R., El-Matbouli M., Focken U., (2014). Earthworm meal as fishmeal replacement in plant based feeds for common carp in semi-intensive aquaculture in rural northern Vietnam. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 14: 557 – 565.
- Rachmawati, D. and Nurhayati, D. (2022). Effect of fish meal replacement with earthworm and maggot meals on feed utilization and growth of banana shrimp (*Penaeus merguensis*). *Aquaculture, Aquarium, Conservation and Legislation - International Journal of the Bioflux Society*: 15(3): 1470 – 1478.
- Robinson, E. H., and Li, M. H. 2015. A Brief Overview of Catfish Nutrition. *Research Report*. 24(16) 8pages.
- Russel, M., Shuke, R., Samantha, S. (2011). Effects of conductivity on survivorship and weight of Goldfish (*Carassius auratus*). Retrieved from <http://departments.juniata.edu/biology/eco/documents/Russelet al.pdf>. 8/11/22
- Stone, N.M and Thomforde, H.K (2013). Understanding your fish pond. Water analysis Report Cooperative Extension Program. University of Arkansas at pine Bluff. Agriculture/Fisheries, 2004
- Sun, Z., Liu, X., Sun, L and Song, C. (2019). Ecology Food and Nutrition 36: 1997. issue 2-4 Mini livestock.
- Tidd, A. N., Rousseau, Y. Ojea, E., Watson, R. A. and Blanchard, J. L. (2022). Food security challenged by declining efficiencies of artisanal fishing fleets: A global country-level analysis. *Global Food Security* 32: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2021.100598>.